From direct observations on the prey of the Curlew when compared with the Crab Plover, the diversity of prey species of the Curlew was found to be less than that of the Crab Plover (Soni and Bhuva 2007); major (94%) being the Fiddler Crab. Thus, in the Gulf of Kachchh habitat Fiddler Crabs constitute important prey base for the Curlew.

The high tide roost sites are very crucial for the conservation of the Curlews and other waders. Since the Rozybunder faces heavy anthropogenic pressures, number of the Curlews on Rozybunder were extremely low. Thus, for

ABDULALI, H. (1962): An ornithological trip to the Gulf of Kutch. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 59: 655-658.

- ABDULALI, H. (1963): Ornithological notes of a second trip to the Gulf of Kutch. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 60: 703-708.
- ALI, S. & S.D. RIPLEY (2001): Handbook of Birds of India and Pakistan. Compact 2nd Edition. Oxford University Press, New Delhi. Pp. 245-246.
- BHUVA, V.J. (1999): Feeding ecology of some wading birds in the Gulf of Kachchh. Ph.D. thesis, Saurashtra University, Rajkot. 210 pp.
- BHUVA, V.J. & V.C. SONI (1998): Wintering population of four migratory species of waders in the Gulf of Kachchh and human pressures. *Wader Study Group Bull.* 86: 48-51.
- BURTON, P.J.K. (1974): Feeding and feeding apparatus in Waders: A study of anatomy and adaptation in the Charadrii. British Museum Natural History, London. Publ.No.719. Pp. 1-120.
- CALDOW, R.W.G., H.A. BEADMAN, S. MCGRORTY, M.J. KAISER, J.D. GOSS-CUSTARD, K. MOULD & A. WILSON (2003): Effects of intertidal mussel cultivation on bird assemblages. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 259: 173-183.
- DHARMKUMARSINHJ, K.S. (1955): The Birds of Saurashtra. Times of India Press, Bombay.
- GOODERS, J. (1979): The Orbis Encyclopedia of Birds of Britain and Europe. Vol. III. Birds of Marsh and Shore. Orbis Publishing, London.
- HASHMI, N.H., R.R. NAIR & R.M. KIDWAI (1978): Sediments of Gulf of Kutch - a high energy tide dominated environment. Indian

the conservation of the Curlews and other waders it is very important to manage such sites to control the anthropogenic pressure. Otherwise, due to industrialization the pressure is going to increase day by day and the waders may face a variety of problems in the Gulf of Kachchh area.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the authorities of the Marine National Park for permission to carryout the work.

#### REFERENCES

J. Mar. Sci. 7:1-7.

- HIMMATSINHII, M.K. (1968): Some interesting migrants in Kutch. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 65: 225.
- MUNDKUR, T. (1991): Nesting and feeding ecology of aquatic birds in Saurashtra and Gulf of Kachchh. Ph.D. thesis, Saurashtra University, Rajkot.
- NAIK, R.M., M.S. MURTHY, A.P. MANSURI, Y.N. RAO, R. PRAVEZ, T. MUNDKAR, S. KRISHNAN, P.J. FALDU & T.S.V.R. KRISHNA (1991): Studies on coastal marine ecosystems and anthropogenic pressure in the Gulf of Kachchh. Final report, submitted to World Wide Fund for Nature-India. 287 pp.
- PALMES, P. & C. BRIGGS (1986): Crab Plovers Dromas ardeola in the Gulf of Kutch. Forktail 1: 21-28.
- PARASHARYA, B.M. (1984): Studies on the coastal birds and their marine habitat, with special emphasis on the biology of the Indian Reef Heron Egretta gularis. Ph.D. Thesis, Saurashtra University, Rajkot.
- SONI, V.C. & V.J. BHUVA (2007): Feeding ecology of Crab Plover Dromas ardeola in the Gulf of Kachchh, India. Wader Study Group Bull. 133: 32-36.
- WYNTER-BLYTH, M.A. (1962): An essay on the Geography of Saurashtra. Rajkumar College Publications, Rajkot.
- ZWARTS, L. (1997): Waders and their food supply. Ph.D. Thesis. Summary in *Wader Study Group Bull.* 83: 11-14.
- ZWARTS, L. & J. WANNIK (1984): How Oystercatchers and Curlew successively deplete clams. Pp. 69-83. *In*: Evans, P.R., J.D. Goss-Custard & W.G. Hale (Eds.): Coastal waders and wildfowl in winter. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge London.

# 5. OCCURRENCE OF ORIENTAL SCOPS OWL *OTUS SUNIA SUNIA* IN MELGHAT TIGER RESERVE, MAHARASHTRA<sup>1</sup>

GIRISH A. JATHAR<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Accepted June 06, 2008

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Environment Education, Nehru Foundation for Development, Thaltej, Tekra 380 054, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India. Email: girishjathar@gmail.com

I visited Raipur village, north-central part of Melghat Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra, in June 2004 for a status survey of the Forest Owlet (*Heteroglaux blewitti*). This area comes under Forest Division No. 1 of the Melghat Tiger Reserve. The terrain is undulating and hilly. The forest is dominated by Teak *Tectona grandis* in some patches, and mixed forest exists along the streams. On June 05, 2004, while walking towards a waterhole in the Reserve I was informed by a tribal about a possible case of waterhole poisoning. Tribals in and around Melghat are known to poison waterholes for hunting wild animals. The waterhole was located in forest compartment No. 223, at 21° 34' N and 77° 17' E at an altitude of 550 m. At about 0900 hrs, I reached the waterhole and saw a bizarre sight; there were two frogs and one rufous bird floating on the water. The frogs and the bird, an owl, were entangled with each other. I do not know the reason behind this. While I was trying to identify the owl, one of our team members found an owl of another species.

Both the specimens were partially damaged, but the key identification characters like wings, legs and plumage were intact. The smaller specimen was 18 cm long and rufous in colour. It had very pale or almost invisible black streaks on its back, the breast had black vertical streaks and the belly feathers were blotched white. I identified it as the Oriental Scops Owl *Otus sunia*. The larger specimen was 25 cm long and brown grey. It had vermiculated lines and streaks on its back. The belly and breast were pale brown and had vertical streaks. 1 identified it as the Indian Scops Owl *Otus bakkamoena*.

Sawarkar (1987) mentions the presence of Peninsular Scops Owl *Otus scops rufipennis* in the Melghat Tiger Reserve, but my observation is different. There is a very little difference between the Oriental Scops Owl *Otus sunia sunia* and the Peninsular Scops Owl *Otus sunia rufipennis* (Ticehurst 1923; Baker 1927; Ali and Ripley 1987). They differ only in the wing formulae (Ali and Ripley 1987, see museum diagnosis). I identified the specimen up to subspecific level by its wing formulae. In the nominate subspecies *sunia*, first primary is equal to the 7th or 8th, whereas in *rufipennis* the first primary is equal to the 5th or is longer than the 5th. In our case, the first primary was equal to the 8th; hence the specimen was confirmed to be Otus sunia sunia.

Sawarkar (1987) mentions "A reference at the sub-species level is included in parenthesis on the basis of reported range of the sub-species. This is not identification at subspecies level". Ali and Ripley (1987) mention presence of a nominate species in north India and some parts of Central India, but there is no clear demarcation on the extent and occurrence of the species. Abdulali (1981) mentions the presence of both the subspecies in Maharashtra. Baker (1927) mentions its presence in Khandesh, which is also a part of Satpuda mountains. Rasmussen (pers. comm.) mentions sympatric occurrence of both sunia and rufipennis in Toranmal Reserve Forest, which is in Satpuda mountains and only 450 km from Melghat Tiger Reserve. It is possible that like Toranmal both sunia and rufipennis are sympatric in Melghat Tiger Reserve. However, this needs more meticulous taxonomic work to prove the sympatric occurrence of the two subspecies.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Mr. Ramanuj Chaudhary, Director, Melghat Tiger Reserve, Mr. Dhamage, DCF, Division-I, Melghat Tiger Reserve, for their kind co-operation. I thank Mr. Sukhalal Kazdekar and Mr. Sayasing Vasave, field assistants for guiding us and accompanying us on this survey. I also thank Mr. Vithoba Hegde for his cooperation in identifying the specimens in the BNHS Collection.

### REFERENCES

- ABDULALI, H. (1981): Checklist of the Birds of Maharashtra with notes on their status around Bombay. Bombay Natural History Society, Bombay. Pp.16.
- ALI, S. & S.D. RIPLEY (1987): Compact Handbook of Birds of India and Pakistan (Second Edition). Oxford University Press, Mumbai. Pp. 245.

#### London. Pp. 434-435. SAWARKAR, V.B. (1987): Bird Survey of the Melghat Tiger Reserve. *Cheetal 29*(1): 4-27.

BAKER, S. (1927): The Fauna of British India including Ceylon and

Burma. Birds - Vol. IV. (Second edition). Taylor and Francis.

TICEHURST, C.B. (1923): Birds of Sind. *Ibis* 5: 242.

## 6. A SIGHT RECORD OF BLUE-CHEEKED BEE-EATER MEROPS PERSICUS IN GOA<sup>1</sup>

PAUL I. HOLT<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Accepted April 25, 2008 <sup>2</sup>Bracken Dean, Pendleton, Clitheroe, Lancashire, BB7 1PT, U.K. Email: piholt@hotmail.com

During the late afternoon of November 13, 2007, while leading a Sunbird Bird Watching tour to Goa, a group of us visited an area of open grassland near Carambolim (15° 29' N; 73° 57' E). At about 1600 hrs we encountered a flock of about 100 Blue-tailed Bee-eaters *Merops philippinus* perched on some distant wires (Blue-tailed Bee-eater is a common sight throughout much of the coastal plain of Goa). While scanning through them, as I had done with dozens of flocks of this species during my fourteen previous visits to Goa, I noticed a single Blue-cheeked Bee-eater *Merops persicus*. I along with nine other observers watched the bird for a total of about 50 minutes, at ranges initially of about 600 m, but later down to about 30 m. We used a variety of optical equipment between us; I used 8 x 42 binoculars and a telescope on magnifications of up to almost 60x. I was already familiar with the species, mostly from experience in central Asia, and the